Before the UNITED STATES COPYRIGHT ROYALTY JUDGES THE LIBRARY OF CONGRESS Washington, D.C.

In re)))
DETERMINATION OF ROYALTY	Docket No. 14-CRB-0001-WR (2016-2020)
RATES AND TERMS FOR)
EPHEMERAL RECORDING AND)
DIGITAL PERFORMANCE OF)
SOUND DECODDINGS (WER IIA)

REPLY DECLARATION OF TODD LARSON IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE IMPROPER WRITTEN REBUTTAL TESTIMONY AND ACCOMPANYING EXHIBITS

- 1. I am counsel for Pandora Media, Inc. ("Pandora") in the above-captioned case. I am familiar with the facts, circumstances, and proceedings in this case and submit this Reply Declaration in further support of the Moving Services' Motion in Limine to Exclude Improper Rebuttal Testimony and Accompany Exhibits.
- 2. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of relevant portions of a transcript of proceedings from the hearing in Docket No. 2006-1 ("Satellite I"), dated June 6, 2007.
- 3. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of an e-mail chain between counsel in Docket No. 2011-1 ("Satellite II"), dated June 4, 2012.

I hereby declare under the penalty of perjury that, to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: April 15, 2015

New York, NY

Il Cores 1500H

Todd Larson (N.Y. Bar No. 4358438) WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP

767 Fifth Avenue

New York, NY 10153 Tel: (212) 310- 8238 Fax: (212) 310-8007 todd.larson@weil.com

Counsel for Pandora Media, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on April 15, 2015, I caused a copy of the foregoing document to be served by e-mail and first-class mail to the participants listed below:

Cynthia Greer
Sirius XM Radio Inc.
1500 Eckington Place, NE
Washington, DC 20002
cynthia.greer@siriusxm.com
Tel: 202-380-1476

Tel: 202-380-1476 Fax: 202-380-4592

Patrick Donnelly
Sirius XM Radio Inc.
1221 Avenue of the Americas

1221 Avenue of the Americ

36th Floor

New York, NY 10020 patrick.donnelly@siriusxm.com

Tel: 212-584-5100 Fax: 212-584-5200

Sirius XM Radio Inc.

Paul Fakler Arent Fox LLP 1675 Broadway

New York, NY 10019 paul.fakler@arentfox.com

Tel: 202-857-6000 Fax: 202-857-6395

Martin Cunniff
Arent Fox LLP
1717 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036
martin.cunniff@arentfox.com

Tel: 202-857-6000 Fax: 202-857-6395

Counsel for Sirius XM Radio Inc.

C. Colin Rushing
Bradley Prendergast
SoundExchange, Inc.

733 10th Street, NW, 10th Floor

Washington, DC 20001 Tel: 202-640-5858 Fax: 202-640-5883

crushing@soundexchange.com bprendergast@soundexchange.com

SoundExchange, Inc.

Glenn Pomerantz Kelly Klaus Anjan Choudhury

Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP 355 S. Grand Avenue, 35th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560 glenn.pomerantz@mto.com kelly.klaus@mto.com anjan.choudhury@mto.com

Tel: 213-683-9100 Fax: 213-687-3702

Counsel for SoundExchange, Inc.

Mark C. Hansen

John Thorne

Evan T. Leo

Scott H. Angstreich

Kevin J. Miller

Caitlin S. Hall

Igor Helman

Leslie V. Pope

Matthew R. Huppert

Kellogg, Huber, Hansen, Todd, Evans

& Figel, P.L.L.C.

1615 M Street, NW, Suite 400

Washington, DC 20036

mhansen@khhte.com

ithorne@khhte.com

eleo@khhte.com

sangstreich@khhte.com

kmiller@khhte.com

chall@khhte.com

ihelman@khhte.com

lpope@khhte.com

mhuppert@khhte.com

Tel: 202-326-7900

Fax: 202-326-7999

Counsel for iHeartMedia, Inc.

Donna K. Schneider

Associate General Counsel, Litigation & IP

iHeartMedia, Inc.

200 E. Basse Road

San Antonio, TX 78209

donnaschneider@iheartmedia.com

Tel: 210-832-3468

Fax: 210-832-3127

iHeartMedia, Inc.

Gregory A. Lewis

National Public Radio, Inc. (NPR)

1111 North Capital Street, NE

Washington, DC 20002

glewis@npr.org

Tel: 202-513-2050

Fax: 202-513-3021

National Public Radio, Inc.

Kenneth Steinthal

Joseph Wetzel

King & Spaulding LLP

101 Second Street, Suite 2300

San Francisco, CA 94105 ksteinthal@kslaw.com

iwetzel@kslaw.com

Tel: 415-318-1200

Fax: 415-318-1300

Ethan Davis

1700 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Suite 200

Washington, DC 20006

edavis@kslaw.com

Tel: 202-626-5440

Fax: 202-626-3737

Bruce G. Joseph Karyn K. Ablin Michael L. Sturm Wiley Rein LLP 1776 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006 bjoseph@wileyrein.com kablin@wileyrein.com msturm@wileyrein.com Tel: 202-719-7000 Fax: 202-719-7049 Counsel for National Association of Broadcasters	Antonio Lewis 100 N. Tryon Street, Suite 3900 Charlotte, NC 28202 alewis@kslaw.com Tel: 704-503-2583 Fax: 704-503-2622 Counsel for National Public Radio, Inc. David Oxenford Wilkinson Barker Knauer, LLP 2300 N Street, NW, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20037 doxenford@wbklaw.com Tel: 202-383-3337 Fax: 202-783-5851 . Counsel for National Association of Broadcasters, Educational Media Foundation
Kevin Blair Brian Gantman Educational Media Foundation 5700 West Oaks Boulevard Rocklin, CA 95765 kblair@kloveair1.com bgantman@kloveair1.com Tel: 916-251-1600 Fax: 916-251-1731 Educational Media Foundation	Jane Mago 1771 N Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20036 jmago@nab.org Tel: 202-429-5459 Fax: 202-775-3526 National Association of Broadcasters (NAB)

Karyn K. Ablin	Russ Hauth		
Jennifer L. Elgin	Harv Hendrickson		
Wiley Rein LLP	3003 Snelling Drive, North		
1776 K Street, NW	Saint Paul, MN 55113		
Washington, DC 20006	russh@salem.cc		
kablin@wileyrein.com	hphendrickson@unwsp.edu		
jelgin@wileyrein.com	Tel: 651-631-5000		
Tel: 202-719-7000	Fax: 651-631-5086		
Fax: 202-719-7049			
	National Religious Broadcasters		
Counsel for National Religious Broadcasters	NonCommercial Music License Committee		
Noncommercial Music License Committee			
Thomson with the same and the s			
Jeffrey J. Jarmuth	Kurt Hanson		
Law Offices of Jeffrey J. Jarmuth	AccuRadio, LLC		
34 East Elm Street	65 E. Wacker Place, Suite 930		
Chicago, IL 60611	1		
	Chicago, IL 60601		
jeff.jarmuth@jarmuthlawoffices.com	kurt@accuradio.com Tel: 312-284-2440		
Tel: 312-335-9933			
Fax: 312-822-1010	Fax: 312-284-2450		
Counsel for AccuRadio, LLC	AccuRadio, LLC		
William Malone	Frederick Kass		
40 Cobbler's Green	367 Windsor Highway		
205 Main Street			
	New Windsor, NY 12553		
New Canaan, Connecticut 06840	ibs@ibsradio.org		
malone@ieee.org	IBSHQ@aol.com		
Tel: 203-966-4770	P: 845-565-0003		
	F: 845-565-7446		
Counsel for Intercollegiate Broadcasting			
System, Inc. and Harvard Radio Broadcasting	Intercollegiate Broadcasting System, Inc. (IBS)		
Co., Inc.			
George Johnson			
GEO Music Group			
23 Music Square East, Suite 204			
1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Nashville, TN 37203			
george@georgejohnson.com			
Tel: 615-242-9999			
GEO Music Group			

Reed Collins

EXHIBIT A

APPEARANCES: (cont'd)

On Behalf of Music Choice:

of: Moses & Singer LLP

(212) 554-7800

PAUL M. FAKLER, ESQ.

406 Lexington Avenue

New York, New York 10174-1299

Before the COPYRIGHT ROYALTY BOARD LIBRARY OF CONGRESO Washington, D.C. In the matter of: Adjustment of Rates and Terms . * Docket No. for Preexisting Subscriptions ° 2006-1 ' CKB DSTRA Services. and Satellite Digital Audio Padio . * Services Roon LM 408 Library of Congress First and Independence Avenue, S.E. Washington, D.C. 20540 Wednesday, June 6, 2007 The above-entitled matter came on for hearing, pursuant to notice, at 9:30 a.m. BEFORE: THE HONORABLE JAMES SLEDGE, Chief Judge THE HONORABLE WILLIAM J. ROBERTS, JR., Judge

THE HONORABLE CTAN WISHIEWSEL, Judge

6/6/2007 HEARING - Vendetti, Cook, Masiello, Karmazin (2006-1)

APPEARANCES:

On Behalf of SoundExchange: DAVID A. HANDEO, ESQ. MICHAEL B. DeSANCTIS, ESQ. JARED O. FREEDMAN, ESQ. THOMAS J. PERRELLI, ESQ. MARK D. SCHNEIDER, ESQ. of: Jenner & Block 601 Thirteenth Street, N.W. Suite 1200 South Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 639-6060 dhandro jenner.com On Behalf of XM Satellite Radio Inc.: BRUCE RICH, ESQ. JONATHAN BLOOM, ESQ. TODD LARSON, ESO. BENJAMIN MARKS, ESQ. BRUCE S. MEYER, EGQ. RALPH MILLER, ESO. ct: Well Gotshal & Munges 567 5th Avenue New York, New York 10616 (212) 310-8238

On schalf of Sirius Satellite Radio

Inc.:

BRUCE G. JOSEPH, ESQ.
HARYN K. ABLIN, ERQ.
MATT J. ASTLE, ESQ.
JENNIPER L. ELGIN, ESQ.
THOMAS W. KIRBY, ESQ.
MICHAEL L. STURM, ESQ.
of: Waley Rein
1776 & Street, N.W.
Wuchington, D.C. 20006
(202) 719-7526

bjoseph-wileyrain.com

6/6/2007 HEARING - Vendetti, Cook, Masiello, Karmazin (2006-1) DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS WITNESS Mark Vendetti By Mr. Miller 32 By Mr. Schneider Stephen Cook By Mr. Meyer 44 153 By Mr. Freedman 72 189 Anthony Masiello By Mr. Miller 194 242 By Mr. Handzo 229 Melvin Karmazin By Mr. Wyss By Mr. Handzo 329 Exhibit No. Description Mark Recd 47 48 Stephen Cook Testimony Anthony Masiello Testimony 197 198 SoundExchange 149 136 15 Satisfaction Study Report June 2006 Messaging Study 75 81 17 XM Satellite Radio Change Lanes 91 101 18 XM Sat Radio Technical Overview 237 21 Sirius contract with Fox News 350 353 22 354 356 23 Sirius contract with NASCAR 24 Sirius contract with NBA 356 357 25 Sirius contract with NBA Second 361 Amendment Sirius 266 321 1 Testimony of Melvin Karmazin 260 Sirius 10-K form 279 31 Sirius 10-0 form 279

4

1	continued with the original numbering scheme	1	351.4(b), which reads: "The written direct
2	with these exhibits, which I probably should	2	statement shall include all testimony,
3	have done with the first two, and I take	3	including each witness' background and
4	responsibility for not having done, but that's	4	qualifications, along with all exhibits."
5	the reason.	5	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Wyss?
e)	BY MR. WYSC:	6	MR. WYSS: Obviously, Your Honor,
,	Q Mr. Karmazin, would you look at	7	this exhibit did not exist back in October.
,,,	Siring Exhibit 30, which is the 10-K form.	8	This is in response to our understanding the
ý	And the sample question is, is it a true and	9	Court wanted current updated information.
10	accurate copy of Sirius' 10 K for 2006?	10	This is a judicially noticeable document.
11	A Yes.	11	This is what we file with the SEC, which would
12	Q And would you please look at	12	hopefully provide the Court with the most
13	Sirius Exhibit 31. And is this a true and	13	recent information.
14	accurate copy of Sirius' Form 10 Q for the	14	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Wyss,
15	period ending March 31, 2007?	15	some would be relieved to hear that the Court
1.6	λ Yes.	16	feels bound by its own regulations. The
17	MR. WYSS: Okay. Your Honor, we	17	objection is sustained.
18	would offer Sirius Exhibit 31 and 30, at this	18	MR. WYSS: Thank you, Your Honor.
1.4	time.	19	BY MR. WYSS:
20	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection	20	Q Mr. Karmazin, within your written
21	to Exhibit 30?	21	statement, you discuss some of the satellite

282 280

risk-related factors that Sirius faced and

6/6/2007 HEARING - Vendetti, Cook, Masiello, Karmazin (2006-1)

MM. HANDZO: I'm corry. Which one

20

10

15

20

21

22

is that, Your Honor? Is that the --1 MR. WYSS: 10 K. MR. HANDEO: Chav. Yes, Your Homon. The Court's regulations require that exhibits with direct tertimeny be submitted with the written testimony; that is the Court's Regulation 351.4. And, moreover, I would just note that in Mr. Karmazin's written testimony, the recent 10 K 2005 was cited in only one place, and only for the risk factor. 10 I haven't had a chance to go through this document to one if there's even a change with 12 respect to the one thing that they cited that 13 1.4 for in his written testimony, so I would object that, number one, it's not permitted by 14 the regulations. But, number two, I think 1+

with respect to prejudice, it seems to me

even in his testimony.

regulation are you referring?

they're pust submitting numbers that weren't

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: And to what

MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, it's

6/6/2007 HEARING - Vendetti, Cook, Masiello, Karmazin (2006-1)

faces. Could you briefly describe some of the historic risks, very briefly, that Sirius had to overcome in that respect? 3 Well, I think the idea of historically designing satellites, and once again, creating satellites that are going to work in a vehicle that's going significantly fast on the roads, and also get into the homes, and not be able to buy one off-the shelf, I mean, I think that there was 11 significant risks then. But the one thing I learned in the two and a half years that I 12 have been in the satellite business, is that there's just lots and lots of risks associated 14 with satellites. 15 And do those risks continue even 17 today? The risks, absolutely, continue 18 today. When you have a satellite up in the air, if something goes wrong, you really don't 20 21 have anybody, or at least I haven't found a person that I can send up there to fix it.

EXHIBIT B

Larson, Todd

From:

Singer, Randi

Sent:

Monday, June 04, 2012 2:08 PM

To:

'Freedman, Jared O'; 'Fakler, Paul M.'; Levin, Garrett A.; Rich, Bruce; Larson, Todd;

'Trokenheim, Matthew'; Perelman, Sabrina; 'Cunniff, Martin'

Cc:

Handzo, David A; DeSanctis, Michael B

Subject:

RE: SDARS/PSS II (trial rules)

Jared ---

Since we aren't going to reach agreement on procedures with respect to objections on written testimony, it should not be included in any agreement between the parties. You are, of course, free to argue however you see fit.

Other than that, it seems we have some basic parameters to work with. Pursuant to them, shall we schedule a short call this evening to discuss objections to exhibits other than the written testimony itself?

And thank you for confirmation about witnesses and clients during the open.

Regards,

Randi

From: Freedman, Jared O [mailto:JFreedman@jenner.com]

Sent: Monday, June 04, 2012 1:48 PM

To: Singer, Randi; 'Fakler, Paul M.'; Levin, Garrett A.; Rich, Bruce; Larson, Todd; 'Trokenheim, Matthew'; Perelman,

Sabrina; 'Cunniff, Martin'

Cc: Handzo, David A; DeSanctis, Michael B **Subject:** RE: SDARS/PSS II (trial rules)

We are fine on Saturday/Sunday and exchange of cross demonstratives.

We don't agree that parties must exchange objections to all or portions of written testimony the night before. We reserve our rights to raise such objections at the hearing.

We agree to having witnesses and clients at openings, except of course to the extent there may be discussion of Restricted information which would require them to leave the hearing room for that part of the openings.

From: Singer, Randi [mailto:randi.singer@weil.com]

Sent: Monday, June 04, 2012 1:37 PM

To: Freedman, Jared O; 'Fakler, Paul M.'; Levin, Garrett A.; Rich, Bruce; Larson, Todd; 'Trokenheim, Matthew'; Perelman,

Sabrina; 'Cunniff, Martin'

Cc: Handzo, David A; DeSanctis, Michael B **Subject:** RE: SDARS/PSS II (trial rules)

Jared --

I just left you a voice mail -- if you are in agreement with the changes below, we are ready to finalize these.

Thanks,

Randi



Randi W. Singer

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153 randi.singer@weil.com +1 212 310 8152 Direct +1 212 310 8007 Fax

From: Singer, Randi

Sent: Monday, June 04, 2012 10:36 AM

To: 'Freedman, Jared O'; Fakler, Paul M.; Levin, Garrett A.; Rich, Bruce; Larson, Todd; Trokenheim, Matthew; Perelman,

Sabrina; Cunniff, Martin

Cc: Handzo, David A; DeSanctis, Michael B Subject: RE: SDARS/PSS II (trial rules)

Jared:

I still need to reserve our final sign-off, but in the interests of time, I am sending a few additional comments.

- In paragraphs 1 and 2, we've changed Saturday to Sunday as agreed and added a clarification that demonstratives on cross must also be exchanged.
- We've also deleted the last sentence of paragraph 1 in the interests of getting this done because we cannot agree to it.

In addition, we think witnesses (and clients) should be able to be present during openings, which are argument and not testimony. We think it is clear under the rules that this is permitted, but thought we should save time by agreeing in advance.

Thanks, Randi



Randi W. Singer

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153 randi.singer@weil.com +1 212 310 8152 Direct +1 212 310 8007 Fax From: Freedman, Jared O [mailto:JFreedman@jenner.com]

Sent: Friday, June 01, 2012 4:42 PM

To: Singer, Randi; Fakler, Paul M.; Levin, Garrett A.; Rich, Bruce; Larson, Todd; Trokenheim, Matthew; Perelman,

Sabrina; Cunniff, Martin

Cc: Handzo, David A; DeSanctis, Michael B **Subject:** RE: SDARS/PSS II (trial rules)

Randi, Paul et al,

Per your request, I've created a clean version that I hope captures the various issues we've discussed. (Please of course confirm that for yourselves). I accepted the prior changes we previously agreed to. I added Paul's suggested edit from below. I added the last two sentences of Paragraph 1. I also added the second parenthetical in Paragraph 1 just as a point of clarification. One note — with respect to the last sentence of Paragraph 1, which we didn't discuss — our thinking is that in some instances whether we object to portions of a witness's written testimony may depend on what the witness says orally at trial, including on voir dire. So, rather than spending time now trying to craft a rule that would capture every contingency, we thought it would be easier not to get into it in advance and simply to allow parties to raise objections to portions of written testimony at the hearing (as has been done in prior cases). Finally, I added the last sentence of Paragraph 4, just as a clarification (which probably isn't even necessary).

- 1. On direct, parties are limited to using and admitting into evidence the written direct testimony, exhibits (and rate proposals) that have been exchanged with the written cases (or as corrected or amended testimony). Parties will identify (but need not exchange) the documents they plan to use on direct no later than noon the day before the witness testifies (or no later than noon on Saturday Sunday for documents to be used on Monday). Other than as requested or ordered by the Copyright Royalty Judges, Parties cannot use other documents on direct. Parties will also exchange any demonstratives by 7 pm the day before they are used at trial. The evening before each trial day (or, in the case of Mondays, at a mutually agreed upon day and time), the parties will participate in a short call during which the party crossing a witness the next day will identify the exhibits to be used on direct examination to which it is willing to stipulate as to authenticity and admissibility, and the party presenting a witness the next day will identify the exhibits to be used on cross-examination to which it is willing to stipulate as to authenticity and admissibility. However, parties are not required during these calls to identify the portions of a witness's written testimony to which they may object as inadmissible.
- 2. On cross, parties must identify or exchange all documents and demonstratives to be used on cross (except documents used solely for impeachment) no later than noon the day before using the document on cross (or no later than noon on Saturday Sunday for documents to be used on Monday). Parties may identify (but need not exchange) documents previously exchanged in connection with parties' written direct cases; documents previously entered into evidence; and documents previously produced with bates numbers in discovery. If an opposing party does not possess a document that has been identified, it may request that document, and the identifying party will promptly provide such document. Parties must exchange all other documents by email or other means. Parties can try to admit such documents into evidence on cross. Per 37 CFR 351.10(g), on cross, parties may use, but not admit into evidence, documents not previously identified or exchanged as described herein solely to impeach a witness's testimony.
- 3. Parties will provide one week's advance notice to the court and other parties of the anticipated order of the witnesses who will testify the following week. Parties will work cooperatively with respect to witness scheduling.
- 4. A witness can talk to counsel and others on breaks during the witness's testimony until cross examination of the witness begins. Once cross begins, you cannot speak to your witness about his testimony until the testimony, including all re-direct and re-cross, is done. Also, 351.9(f) provides that a witness may not listen to testimony or review a transcript of prior testimony. For avoidance of doubt, that means that before a witness testifies, the witness cannot attend any live testimony or read a transcript of any SDARS/PSS II hearing testimony. However, before a witness testifies, the witness may read the written direct testimony and deposition transcripts of other witnesses to the extent permitted by the Protective Order. After a witness has testified at the direct case hearing, the witness may read a

transcript of any SDARS/PSS II hearing testimony. In the rebuttal phase, witnesses may review all prior testimony, subject to the Protective Order.

- 5. When using documents at trial, parties will provide each other party with two copies of the documents.
- 6. When a party uses a hard copy of a native file that was produced as Restricted, the hard copy will be marked as Restricted, pursuant to the Protective Order.

From: Freedman, Jared O [mailto:JFreedman@jenner.com]

Sent: Friday, June 01, 2012 4:42 PM

To: Singer, Randi; Fakler, Paul M.; Levin, Garrett A.; Rich, Bruce; Larson, Todd; Trokenheim, Matthew; Perelman,

Sabrina; Cunniff, Martin

Cc: Handzo, David A; DeSanctis, Michael B **Subject:** RE: SDARS/PSS II (trial rules)

Randi, Paul et al,

Per your request, I've created a clean version that I hope captures the various issues we've discussed. (Please of course confirm that for yourselves). I accepted the prior changes we previously agreed to. I added Paul's suggested edit from below. I added the last two sentences of Paragraph 1. I also added the second parenthetical in Paragraph 1 just as a point of clarification. One note — with respect to the last sentence of Paragraph 1, which we didn't discuss — our thinking is that in some instances whether we object to portions of a witness's written testimony may depend on what the witness says orally at trial, including on voir dire. So, rather than spending time now trying to craft a rule that would capture every contingency, we thought it would be easier not to get into it in advance and simply to allow parties to raise objections to portions of written testimony at the hearing (as has been done in prior cases). Finally, I added the last sentence of Paragraph 4, just as a clarification (which probably isn't even necessary).

- 1. On direct, parties are limited to using and admitting into evidence the written direct testimony, exhibits (and rate proposals) that have been exchanged with the written cases (or as corrected or amended testimony). Parties will identify (but need not exchange) the documents they plan to use on direct no later than noon the day before the witness testifies (or no later than noon on Saturday for documents to be used on Monday). Other than as requested or ordered by the Copyright Royalty Judges, Parties cannot use other documents on direct. Parties will also exchange any demonstratives by 7 pm the day before they are used at trial. The evening before each trial day (or, in the case of Mondays, at a mutually agreed upon day and time), the parties will participate in a short call during which the party crossing a witness the next day will identify the exhibits to be used on direct examination to which it is willing to stipulate as to authenticity and admissibility, and the party presenting a witness the next day will identify the exhibits to be used on cross-examination to which it is willing to stipulate as to authenticity and admissibility. However, parties are not required during these calls to identify the portions of a witness's written testimony to which they may object as inadmissible.
- 2. On cross, parties must identify or exchange all documents to be used on cross (except documents used solely for impeachment) no later than noon the day before using the document on cross (or no later than noon on Saturday for documents to be used on Monday). Parties may identify (but need not exchange) documents previously exchanged in connection with parties' written direct cases; documents previously entered into evidence; and documents previously produced with bates numbers in discovery. If an opposing party does not possess a document that has been identified, it may request that document, and the identifying party will promptly provide such document. Parties must exchange all other documents by email or other means. Parties can try to admit such documents into evidence on cross. Per 37 CFR 351.10(g), on cross, parties may use, but not admit into evidence, documents not previously identified or exchanged as described herein solely to impeach a witness's testimony.
- 3. Parties will provide one week's advance notice to the court and other parties of the anticipated order of the witnesses who will testify the following week. Parties will work cooperatively with respect to witness scheduling.

- 4. A witness can talk to counsel and others on breaks during the witness's testimony until cross examination of the witness begins. Once cross begins, you cannot speak to your witness about his testimony until the testimony, including all re-direct and re-cross, is done. Also, 351.9(f) provides that a witness may not listen to testimony or review a transcript of prior testimony. For avoidance of doubt, that means that before a witness testifies, the witness cannot attend any live testimony or read a transcript of any SDARS/PSS II hearing testimony. However, before a witness testifies, the witness may read the written direct testimony and deposition transcripts of other witnesses to the extent permitted by the Protective Order. After a witness has testified at the direct case hearing, the witness may read a transcript of any SDARS/PSS II hearing testimony. In the rebuttal phase, witnesses may review all prior testimony, subject to the Protective Order.
 - 5. When using documents at trial, parties will provide each other party with two copies of the documents.

.

commence . . .

6. When a party uses a hard copy of a native file that was produced as Restricted, the hard copy will be marked as Restricted, pursuant to the Protective Order.

From: Singer, Randi [mailto:randi.singer@weil.com]

Sent: Thursday, May 31, 2012 6:24 PM

To: Fakler, Paul M.; Freedman, Jared O; Levin, Garrett A.; Rich, Bruce; Larson, Todd; Trokenheim, Matthew; Perelman,

Sabrina; Cunniff, Martin

Cc: Handzo, David A; DeSanctis, Michael B **Subject:** RE: SDARS/PSS II (trial rules)

Subject to final agreement on language for number 3, I think we have an agreement. Can you please circulate a clean version that embodies the final rules?

Thanks, Randi

From: Fakler, Paul M. [mailto:Fakler.Paul@ARENTFOX.COM]

Sent: Thursday, May 31, 2012 6:19 PM

To: Freedman, Jared O; Singer, Randi; Levin, Garrett A.; Rich, Bruce; Larson, Todd; Trokenheim, Matthew; Perelman,

Sabrina; Cunniff, Martin

Cc: Handzo, David A; DeSanctis, Michael B **Subject:** RE: SDARS/PSS II (trial rules)

With respect to number 3, how about something like "Other than as requested or ordered by the Copyright Royalty Judges," to the beginning of number 1?

Paul M. Fakler

Pariner

Arent Fox LLP | Attorneys at Law 1675 Broadway New York, NY 10019-5820 212.457.5445 DIRECT | 212.484.3990 FAX fakler.paul@arentfox.com | www.arentfox.com

construction of the control of the control of the production of the exclusive and confidential use of the microstate temporal. If you received this in error, phase control of the control of the microstate this message and its attachases from your control of the message and its attachases from your control of the message and its attachases from your control of the message and its attachases from your control of the message.

From: Freedman, Jared O [mailto:JFreedman@jenner.com]

Sent: Thursday, May 31, 2012 3:09 PM

To: Singer, Randi; Levin, Garrett A.; Rich, Bruce; Fakler, Paul M.; Larson, Todd; Trokenheim, Matthew; Perelman,

Sabrina; Cunniff, Martin

Cc: Handzo, David A; DeSanctis, Michael B **Subject:** RE: SDARS/PSS II (trial rules)

Randi, Paul and Sabrina,

Following up on our call yesterday.

- 1. On the issue of stipulating to authenticity and admissibility of exhibits how about we agree to have a short call each evening at 7:30 pm or so where the party crossing the next day's witness identifies the exhibits it is willing to stipulate to, and the party presenting the next day's witness identifies the cross documents (previously identified by the crossing party) it is willing to stipulate to. That approach would seem to address your concern about saving some time at trial.
- 2. In the spirit of cooperation, we're willing to accept your proposal that parties disclose demonstratives by 7pm the day before they're used.
- 3. On Paul's point about amending exhibits, could you please propose some revised language that tracks what Paul said yesterday on the phone?

Thanks.

Jared

From: Singer, Randi [mailto:randi.singer@weil.com]

Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2012 4:11 PM

To: Freedman, Jared O; Levin, Garrett A.; Rich, Bruce; fakler.paul@arentfox.com; Larson, Todd;

<u>Trokenheim.Matthew@arentfox.com</u>; Perelman, Sabrina; <u>Cunniff.Martin@ARENTFOX.COM</u>

Cc: Handzo, David A; DeSanctis, Michael B **Subject:** Re: SDARS/PSS II (trial rules)

Can I call you at 4:30?

From: Freedman, Jared O [mailto:JFreedman@jenner.com]

Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2012 04:01 PM

To: Singer, Randi; Levin, Garrett A. <<u>GLevin@jenner.com</u>>; Rich, Bruce; <u>Fakler.Paul@ARENTFOX.COM</u>

<Fakler.Paul@ARENTFOX.COM>; Larson, Todd; Trokenheim.Matthew@arentfox.com

<Trokenheim.Matthew@arentfox.com>; Perelman, Sabrina; Cunniff.Martin@ARENTFOX.COM

<Cunniff.Martin@ARENTFOX.COM>

Cc: Handzo, David A < DHandzo@jenner.com >; DeSanctis, Michael B < MDeSanctis@jenner.com >

Subject: RE: SDARS/PSS II (trial rules)

Are we talking now?

From: Singer, Randi [mailto:randi.singer@weil.com]

Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2012 10:21 AM

To: Freedman, Jared O; Levin, Garrett A.; Rich, Bruce; Fakler.Paul@ARENTFOX.COM; Larson, Todd;

Trokenheim, Matthew@arentfox.com; Perelman, Sabrina; Cunniff, Martin@ARENTFOX.COM

Cc: Handzo, David A; DeSanctis, Michael B Subject: RE: SDARS/PSS II (trial rules)

Jared ---

Can we talk this afternoon? I'm available after 2 pm and I think we can get this more or less settled.

Regards, Randi From: Freedman, Jared O [mailto:JFreedman@jenner.com]

Sent: Friday, May 25, 2012 1:06 PM

To: Singer, Randi; Levin, Garrett A.; Rich, Bruce; <u>Fakler.Paul@ARENTFOX.COM</u>; Larson, Todd; <u>Trokenheim.Matthew@arentfox.com</u>; Perelman, Sabrina; <u>Cunniff.Martin@ARENTFOX.COM</u>

Cc: Handzo, David A; DeSanctis, Michael B **Subject:** RE: SDARS/PSS II (trial rules)

Hi Randi,

- 1. Paragraph 1 We are not prepared to stipulate that we will not challenge the authenticity or admissibility of exhibits and testimony. Glad to discuss if we might be able to reach a more narrow agreement with respect to authenticity.
- 2. Paragraph 1 We're not sure what you mean about amending exhibits. Could you please clarify?
- 3. Paragraph 1 7pm seems too late notice for demonstratives. Could we compromise at 3pm?
- 4. Paragraph 4 We're inclined to stick with the rule on sequestering witnesses, including experts, until after they have testified.

We'd be glad to discuss any and all of these issues early next week (including Monday). Even if we can't agree on every issue, our email exchange suggests that we agree on a lot, so hopefully we can at least memorialize the issues on which we agree.

Thanks.

Jared

From: Singer, Randi [mailto:randi.singer@weil.com]

Sent: Tuesday, May 22, 2012 4:18 PM

To: Levin, Garrett A.; Rich, Bruce; Freedman, Jared O; Fakler.Paul@ARENTFOX.COM; Larson, Todd;

<u>Trokenheim.Matthew@arentfox.com</u>; Perelman, Sabrina; <u>Cunniff.Martin@ARENTFOX.COM</u>

Cc: Handzo, David A; DeSanctis, Michael B **Subject:** RE: SDARS/PSS II (trial rules)

Dear Jared & Garrett --

Here are the Services' comments and proposed changes to the trial rules:

- 1. On direct, parties are limited to using and admitting into evidence the written direct testimony, exhibits (and rate proposals) that have been exchanged with the written cases. The parties stipulate that they will not challenge the authenticity or admissibility of any written direct testimony or of any exhibits that have been exchanged with the written direct cases in a timely manner. Parties will identify (but need not exchange) the documents they plan to use on direct by no later than noon the day before the witness testifies (or by no later than noon on Saturday for documents to be used on Monday). Parties cannot use other documents on direct except to the extent that an exhibit submitted with the written direct testimony needs to be amended in order to respond to an issue raised by the CRJs at the hearing, in which case the admission of such an amended exhibit would not be precluded by this agreement. Parties will also exchange any demonstratives by noon 7 pm the day before they are used at trial.
- 2. On cross, parties must identify or exchange all documents to be used on cross (except documents used solely for impeachment) by no later than noon the day before using the document on cross (or by no later than noon on Saturday for documents to be used on Monday). Parties may identify (but need not exchange) documents previously exchanged in connection with parties' written direct cases; documents previously entered into evidence; and documents previously produced with bates numbers in discovery. If an opposing party does not possess a document that has been identified, it may request that document, and the identifying party will promptly provide such document. Parties must exchange all other documents by email or other means. Parties can try to admit such documents into evidence on

cross. Per 37 CFR 351.10(g), on cross, parties may use, but not admit into evidence, documents not exchanged previously identified or exchanged as described herein the day before solely to impeach a witness's testimony.

- 3. Parties will provide one week's advance notice to the court and other parties of the anticipated order of the witnesses who will testify the following week. Parties will work cooperatively with respect to witness scheduling.
- 4. A witness can talk to counsel and others on breaks during the witness's testimony until cross examination of the witness begins. Once cross begins, you cannot speak to your witness about his testimony until the testimony, including all re-direct and re-cross, is done. Also, 351.9(f) provides that a witness may not listen to testimony or review a transcript of prior testimony. For avoidance of doubt, that means that before a witness testifies, the witness cannot attend any live testimony or read a transcript of any Web III SDARS/PSS II hearing testimony. However, before a witness testifies, the witness may read the written direct testimony and deposition transcripts of other witnesses to the extent permitted by the Protective Order. After a witness has testified at the direct case hearing, the witness may read a transcript of any Web III SDARS/PSS II hearing testimony. The parties agree that, notwithstanding the foregoing, experts shall not be subject to the provisions of 37 C.F.R. sec. 351.9(f) and instead shall be permitted to attend live testimony or read a transcript of any SDARS/PSS II hearing testimony.
 - 5. When using documents at trial, parties will provide each other party with two copies of the documents.
- 6. When a party uses a hard copy of a native file that was produced as Restricted, the hard copy will be marked as Restricted, pursuant to the Protective Order.

If it makes sense to schedule a call to discuss, please let us know.

Regards, Randi



Randi W. Singer
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10153
cand Singer Condition
+1 212 310 8152 Direct
+1 212 310 8007 Fax

From: Levin, Garrett A. [mailto:GLevin@jenner.com]

Sent: Thursday, May 17, 2012 6:13 PM

To: Freedman, Jared O; Fakler, Paul M.; Trokenheim, Matthew; Rich, Bruce; Meyer, Bruce; Larson, Todd; Singer, Randi;

Cunniff, Martin; Perelman, Sabrina

Cc: Handzo, David A; DeSanctis, Michael B **Subject:** RE: SDARS/PSS II (trial rules)

All -

With trial now less than three weeks away, we were hoping to re-engage on the issue of trial ground rules. Item #2 below outlines the rules were used in the Webcasting III case and that we circulated previously.

Thanks,

Garrett

From: Freedman, Jared O

Sent: Monday, March 26, 2012 3:57 PM

To: Fakler, Paul M.; Trokenheim, Matthew; Rich, Bruce; Meyer, Bruce; Larson, Todd; Singer, Randi

Cc: Handzo, David A; DeSanctis, Michael B; Levin, Garrett A.

Subject: SDARS/PSS II

All,

I wanted to raise 2 issues:

- 1. Under the Discovery Schedule and 37 CFR 351.7, the parties are supposed to hold a post-discovery settlement conference no later than March 30, 2012, and then file a joint settlement report with the CRB. How would each of you like to handle that, i.e., should we do calls between outside counsel, or were you thinking that client representatives would speak directly? Either way, I think it makes sense for us (SoundExchange) to speak to each of you (SXM and MC) separately. Relatedly, we sent you an ephemerals proposal a while back, and I remain hopeful we can resolve that issue before trial.
- 2. As Garrett and I briefly discussed with Randi a couple of weeks ago, it may make sense to try to agree on some trial rules. The regulations address these issues to some degree. Below are trial rules that we agreed on with counsel in the Webcasting III case. We thought they worked pretty well, and we propose them for this case, though we're always open to improvements.
- 1. On direct, parties are limited to using and admitting into evidence the written direct testimony, exhibits (and rate proposals) that have been exchanged with the written cases. Parties will identify (but need not exchange) the documents they plan to use on direct by noon the day before the witness testifies (or by noon on Saturday for documents to be used on Monday). Parties cannot use other documents on direct. Parties will also exchange any demonstratives by noon the day before they are used at trial.
- 2. On cross, parties must identify or exchange all documents to be used on cross (except documents used solely for impeachment) by noon the day before using the document on cross (or by noon on Saturday for documents to be used on Monday). Parties may identify (but need not exchange) documents previously exchanged in connection with parties' written direct cases and documents previously produced with bates numbers in discovery. If an opposing party does not possess a document that has been identified, it may request that document, and the identifying party will promptly provide such document. Parties must exchange all other documents by email or other means. Parties can try to admit such documents into evidence on cross. Per 37 CFR 351.10(g), on cross, parties may use, but not admit into evidence, documents not exchanged the day before solely to impeach a witness's testimony.
- 3. Parties will provide one week's advance notice to the court and other parties of the order of the witnesses who will testify the following week. Parties will work cooperatively with respect to witness scheduling.
- 4. A witness can talk to counsel and others on breaks during the witness's testimony until cross examination of the witness begins. Once cross begins, you cannot speak to your witness about his testimony until the testimony, including all re-direct and re-cross, is done. Also, 351.9(f) provides that a witness may not listen to testimony or review a transcript of prior testimony. For avoidance of doubt, that means that before a witness testifies, the witness cannot attend any live testimony or read a transcript of any Web III hearing testimony. However, before a witness testifies, the witness may read the written direct testimony and deposition transcripts of other witnesses. After a witness has testified at the direct case hearing, the witness may read a transcript of any Web III hearing testimony.
 - 5. When using documents at trial, parties will provide each other party with two copies of the documents.
- 6. When a party uses a hard copy of a native file that was produced as Restricted, the hard copy will be marked as Restricted, pursuant to the Protective Order.

Thanks.

Ja	red
----	-----

Jared O. Freedman
Jenner & Block LLP
1099 New York Avenue, N.W.
Suite 900
Washington, DC 20001-4412
Tel (202) 639-6879
Fax (202) 661-4846
JFreedman@jenner.com
www.jenner.com

CONFIDENTIALITY WARNING: This email may contain privileged or confidential information and is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use or disclosure of this communication is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system.

The information contained in this email message is intended only for use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by email, postmaster@weil.com, and destroy the original message. Thank you.

The contract (20 go to the incomposition of the will requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that, unless expressly stated otherwise, any U.S. foderal and the consumeration finelating any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (newolding to public or position of the contract Code or (f) promoting marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or mother addressed herein

<u>U.S. Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Circular 230 Notice</u>: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any U.S. tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

* * *

<u>U.S. Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Circular 230 Notice</u>: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any U.S. tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

* * *

U.S. Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Circular 230 Notice: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any U.S. tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

* *